

## MICRO-FINANCE AND ITS ROLE IN INDIA

**Prakash Gangadharrao Kadrekar**

Associate Professor , H.O.D & Vice Principal , Degloor College , Degloor ,  
Dist - Nanded (MS)

### **Abstract :-**

The paper takes a gander at the development and change of microfinance organization in India with various elements in giving administrations. The structure of microfinance establishments has been examined and keeping in mind the end goal to enter into the destitution and discouraged fragment for their mitigation. The development with change of MFIs considered by SHG-bank linkage programs. Despite the fact that there was reliance on the money related establishments, the advance of MFIs was critical. In any case, it has been found that there is nonattendance of administrative control in India and obstruction of political affectability in the MFOs. In addition, it was found that administration inside the MFIs was powerless. Having inspected different alternatives, we infer that there is no perfect or simple way for MFIs to standard in India. This has suggestions for administrative structure. There ought to be administrative changes that permit littler MFOs to get into more perplexing structures as they develop naturally. The recommendation has been given that, NGO-MFIs ought to be permitted to put resources into the value and obligation as these are sufficiently bigger to keep up satisfactory use proportion and ready to raise capital as NBFCs.

**Key Words:-** MFIs ,leverage ratio, NBFCs , governance, regulatory control.

### **INTRODUCTION**

In India, despite the economic growth at national level at 9.4% in 2006-07 it has declined to around 6% in 2008-09 , poverty remains a serious problem for policy makers because the growth is mainly driven by growth in a few sectors in urban areas, such as industry and service sectors<sup>2</sup> . Incidence of poverty in India is estimated by the quintessential large sample surveys on household consumer expenditure and according to the Uniform Recall Period(URP) consumption distribution data in 2004-05, rural areas yields a poverty ratio of 28.3 per cent, 25.7 per cent in urban areas and 27.5 per cent for the country as a whole (Government of India,2009). Although the proportion of persons below the poverty line has declined from around 36 percent of the population in 1993-94 to 28 per cent in 2004-05, the poverty reduction still remains the country's major challenge in the 21st century.

Until the early 1990s, the financial services were provided through a variety of state sponsored institutions, which resulted in impressive achievements in expanding access to credit particularly among the rural poor( Arun and Mosley, 2003). Although many of these commercial bank branches in rural areas wer unprofitable, they did play a positive role in financial savings and reducing poverty which is evident in the fact during the period 1951-1991 the share of total financial institutions in rural house hold debt has increased from 8.8 percent to 53.3 percent and the role of money lenders has declined significantly during this period (Arun and Mosley,2003; Basu and Srivastava 2005). However, despite the vast network of banking and cooperative finance institutions and strong micro components in various programmes, the performance of formal financial sector is still far behind in reaching out to reflect and respond the requirements of the poor.

### **The differentiating factors of MFIs.**

**MFIs vary from each other as far as:-**

1. Loaning model

2. Advance reimbursement structure
3. Method of loan fee estimation
4. Item Offerings
5. Lawful structure

Regarding loaning model, MFIs might be delegated moneylenders to bunches or as banks to people. In India, MFIs as a rule embrace the gathering based loaning models, which are of two sorts—the Self-Help Group (SHG) demonstrate and the Joint-Liability Group (JLG)/solidarity bunch show. Under the SHG display, a MFI loans to a gathering of 10 to 20 ladies. Under the SHG-bank linkage demonstrate, a NGO advances a gathering and inspires banks to stretch out credits to the gathering. Under the JLG demonstrate, advances are stretched out to and recouped from, every individual from the gathering (not at all like under the SHG show, where the advances is reached out to the gathering overall). The most well known JLG models are the Grameen Bank demonstrate (created by Grameen Bank, Bangladesh) and the ASA show (created by ASA, a main Bangladesh –based NGO-MFI). The greater part of the huge MFIs in India take after a cross breed of the gathering models.

#### **DEVELOPMENT OF MFIS IN INDIA.**

The microfinance advertise in India is relied upon to develop quickly, upheld by the Govt. of India's drives to accomplish more noteworthy budgetary consideration, and development in the nation's retail part. MFIs have a grass root level reach and comprehension of the monetary needs of poor people. The developing retail showcase in India gives chances to MFIs to go about as go-betweens in the retail store network. The managing an account division will likewise help the microfinance area develop. Banks are required to utilize MFIs to meet their money related incorporation focuses by permitting MFIs to open ledgers, and appropriate monetary administrations and other organized items.

The microfinance segment has passed its progressive stage, when the benefit arranged working model of MFIs was seen by the market as exceptionable. Likewise speculators now have more extensive decision of MFIs with versatile process. NGO-MFIs have been procuring torpid NBFC for administrative money related and operational reasons. Numerous expansive players are presently centered around urban microfinance and have started stretching out credits to people.

#### **ENHANCING GAINING PROFILE:-**

Change in loaning rates, in branch and worker profitability, and expanding efficiencies by virtue of development in advance portfolios have helped MFIs of II classifications upgrade their working independence (OSS) proportions. CRISIL trusts that MFIs OSS proportions will increment over the medium term; this is on the grounds that MFIs (especially the expansive ones) have expanded their loaning rates, with a few players additionally charging forthright preparing expenses.

#### **HEAVY DEPENDENCE ON BANKS AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS (FIS):-**

MFIs are dependant on borrowings from banks and FIs, and do not raise debt from the capital market. Thus, large NBFC-MFIs face higher cost of borrowing than most large retail finance NBFCs in the country. Banks categorize their lending to MFIs as priority sector advance, which has helped MFIs raise timely resources. However, for many MFIs funding sources are restricted to private banks and apex MFIs. The public sector banks have not been aggressive lenders to MFIs. The large and Mid-sized MFIs and NBFC-MFIs primarily borrow from private and foreign banks, while the smaller MFIs borrow mainly from private banks and apex lenders.

The lending model plays a key role in determining a MFIs borrowing profile. Public sector banks (PSBs) with their wide spread branch networks; prefer lending directly through the SHG-bank linkage route. Moreover, PSBs prefer to lend to those MFIs that have adopted the SHG model. PSBs accounted for 36 percent of total borrowings of societies and trusts (refer table—Borrowing profile) as against only 10 percent of MFI following the JLG model, as on March'2008. Thus NGO-MFIs (societies and trust) have better access to funds from PSBs than MFIs that are companies. However, this scenario of PSBs lending predominantly to SHG model based MFIs seems poised for change, with the large NBFCs continuing to aggressively target PSBs to meet their credit demands.

#### **ABSENCE OF REGULATORY CONTROL:-**

Microfinance activities are undertaken by organizations that are registered under sectoral legal forms. However, currently, only NBFCs are under the regulatory and supervisory purview—the NBFCs are regulated by RBI. The absence of prudential norms and accounting guidelines for non-NBFC MFI leads to lack of uniformity in accounting practices and highly leveraged balance sheets among MFIs. The financial statements of the microfinance programmes of most non-NBFC MFIs do not provide the true financial picture. There was a proposal by Government of India to bring in legislation in 2006 to regulate the entire microfinance sector in India, the regulation,

however is yet to be materialized.

**CONCLUSION:-**

Funding (both equity and debt) will not be a constraint for the large players in India's microfinance sector. The leverage ratio is expected to remain adequate for the larger NBFCs/MFIs (which are regulated) as most of these entities are able to raise capital. Most mid-sized MFIs are in a process of changing their legal structure. The overall asset quality of MFIs is healthy however; this is expected to decline marginally.

The key factors that can drive success for MFIs are robust systems, and processes and efficiency and productivity levels, maintaining asset quality, prevention of credit losses and capital erosion and remaining adequately capitalized to fund growth plans.

**REFERENCES:**

- 1.. <http://www.dfat.gov.au/geo/fs/inia.pdf>
- 2.Arun.T. and Hulme, D. (2003), Balancing Supply and Demand: The Emerging Agenda for Micro Finance Institutions, *Journal of Micro Finance*, 5(2), 1-5.
- 3.Basu,P and Srivastava, P(2005), "Scaling –up Microfinance for India's Rural Poor", Policy Research Working Paper 3646, World Bank:Washington.
- 4.Deaton, A. and Kozel,K.(2005), "Data and Dogma: the great Indian poverty debate," *World Bank Research Observer*, 20(2),177-199.
- 5.Mosley,P. and Arun,T. (2003), "Improving Access to Rural Finance in India: Supply side Constraints," Unpublished Background Paper to the Economic and Sector Work study on Access to Finance, World Bank, South Asia Finance and Private Sector Development Unit.
6. Sen,A. and Himanshu (2004), "Poverty and Inequality in India-II: Widening Disparities during the 1990s", *Economic and Political Weekly*, September25,4361-4376.
- 7.NABARD,Mumbai,2009.